Resolution CM/ResDH(2026)302

Execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights

Prenča against Serbia

(Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 30 October 2026
at the 1574th meeting of the Ministers' Deputies)

Application No.	Case	Judgment of	Final on
48725/12	PRENČA	07/10/2025	07/01/2026

The Committee of Ministers, under the terms of Article 46, paragraph 2, of the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, which provides that the Committee supervises the execution of final judgments of the European Court of Human Rights (hereinafter "the Convention" and "the Court"),

Having regard to the final judgment transmitted by the Court to the Committee in this case and to the violation established on account of disproportionate confiscation of property;

Recalling the respondent State's obligation, under Article 46, paragraph 1, of the Convention, to abide by all final judgments in cases to which it has been a party and that this obligation entails, over and above the payment of any sums awarded by the Court, the adoption by the authorities of the respondent State, where required:

- of individual measures to put an end to violations established and erase their consequences so as to achieve as far as possible *restitutio in integrum*; and
- of general measures preventing similar violations;

Having invited the government of the respondent State to inform the Committee of the measures taken to comply with the above-mentioned obligation;

Having examined the action report provided by the government indicating the individual measures adopted to execute the judgment including the information provided regarding the payment of the just satisfaction awarded by the Court (see document DH-DD(2026)842);

Recalling that the question of general measures required in response to the shortcomings found by the Court in this judgment continues to be examined within the framework of the *Aksungur and Others* group of cases, also in the light of the Court's findings in this case, and that the closure of this case therefore in no way prejudges the Committee's evaluation of the general measures in relation to the disproportionate confiscation of money that was carried across the State border in excess of the statutory limit;

DECLARES that it has exercised its functions under Article 46, paragraph 2, of the Convention in this case;